Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUL 30 1976
MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1976
No. 75-1890

DIMITRI'S GOLDEN STAR, INC., and
DIMITRI VALIANT,
Petitioners,

vs.

ARTHUR TREACHER'S FISH & CHIPS, INC.,
Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To the United States Court of Appeals
For the Sixth Circuit

JOHN G. PAPANDREAS
LEANZA, LONGANO, FARINA, MENDELSOHN
& PAPANDREAS
1006 Citizens Building
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Attorneys for Petitioner, Dimitri's Golden Star, Inc.

HAMILTON J. TEAFORD
TEAFORD & BERNARD
100 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Attorneys for Respondent

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1976
No. 75-1890

DIMITRI'S GOLDEN STAR, INC., and
DIMITRI VALIANT,
Petitioners,

vs.

ARTHUR TREACHER'S FISH & CHIPS, INC.,
Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To the United States Court of Appeals
For the Sixth Circuit

ARGUMENT

THE QUESTION OF WHETHER A TRIAL COURT'S RULING WAS AGAINST THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A SPECIAL OR IMPORTANT MATTER WHICH MERITS REVIEW ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

The Petitioner herein does not give any reasons why this Court should grant review of this case on writ of certiorari. Further, the questions presented by Petitioner, both of which questions deal solely with weighing the evidence presented at trial, are not special or important matters. Finally, the questions presented are not of the

character of reasons for granting review on a writ of certiorari which are outlined in Rule 19 of the Rules of this Court, Sup. Ct. R. 19 (1).

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, Respondent asks this Court to deny Petitioner's petition for writ of certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON J. TEAFORD
TEAFORD & BERNARD
100 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614/228-5822
Attorneys for Respondent,
Arthur Treacher's Fish & Chips, Inc.